

SUBSCRIBE TO NEW SCIENTIST

Select a country

Subscribe

NewScientist

search New Scientist

Go »

Log in

My New Scientist

Home News In-Depth Articles Blogs Opinion TV Galleries Topic Guides Last Word Subscribe Dating

Look for Science Jobs

SPACE TECH ENVIRONMENT HEALTH LIFE PHYSICS&MATH SCIENCE IN SOCIETY

# Short Sharp Science

Cutting-edge science, cut up



## New planet record suggests our solar system is normal

10:31 13 April 2012

Space

Lisa Grossman, reporter

It's a shame about Pluto. If it still counted as a planet, our sun would still be among the record-holders in the planet stakes.

Instead, that crown may have just been stolen by HD 10180, a star 130 light years away that has mass, temperature, brightness and chemistry similar to the sun. A new report sees evidence for up to nine planets in HD 10180's family, all of which are more massive than the Earth. The finding is one of two suggesting our solar system may not be as weird as we thought.

After our sun, we used to think the stars with the most planets were Kepler-11 and HD 10180; each appeared to have six orbiting worlds. Then Mikko Tuomi, an astronomer at the University of Hertfordshire, UK, re-examined observations of HD 10180 from the HARPS (High Accuracy Radial velocity Planet Searcher) spectrograph at the La Silla observatory in Chile. He confirmed the presence of a suspected seventh planet and found new evidence of two more, which would bring the total up to nine.

The suggestion that other solar systems have similar numbers of planets to our own fits with growing evidence that ours is not as freakish as earlier evidence suggested.

The early days of exoplanet hunting mostly turned up bizarre and exotic beasts like hot Jupiters, behemoths many times larger than Jupiter that orbit scorchingly close to their stars, often in single-planet families. Sometimes their orbits were askance, titled at crazy angles with respect to the star's axis of rotation.

The new zoo of planets threw doubt on conventional models of planet formation. Based only on our own crowded but orderly family of planets, astronomers had assumed that planets coalesce calmly out of a flat disc of gas and dust that circled the star like a record. Hot Jupiters are too massive to have formed as close to their stars as they are now, implying a history of planet-on-planet violence in which bigger planets tossed smaller ones out in order to migrate inward.

Now though, it seems our orderly orbits might not be so odd. A second study out this week from the EXOEarths collaboration compared data from HARPS, which is sensitive to all planetary systems regardless of their orientation with respect to Earth, and the Kepler Space Telescope, which can see planets only if they transit, or cross in front of their star as seen from Earth. If Kepler sees multiple transits across the same star, that means there must be two or more planets in the same or less the same orbital plane.

EXOEarths team member Pedro Figueira of the University of Porto, Portugal, and colleagues calculated how many such systems Kepler should see, given the frequency of all planetary seen by HARPS. The results matched what Kepler actually sees.

That means that planets' orbits are probably more often aligned than not, and suggests that planets often form in a disc without much violent jostling.

"These results show us that the way our solar system formed must be common," Figueira said, according to a press release. "Its structure is the same as the other planetary systems we studied, with all planets orbiting roughly in the same plane."



tags exoplanets Kepler Pluto solar system

### Our other blogs

[Short Sharp Science](#)

[One Per Cent](#)

[New Scientist TV](#)

[CultureLab](#)

[Big Wide World](#)

### Bookmark&share



### Categories

[2010 review](#)

[Being Human](#)

[Neuroscience 2010](#)

[Durban Climate Talks](#)

[Environment](#)

[Events](#)

[Fred's Footprint](#)

[From the Editors](#)

[Health](#)

[Illusions](#)

[Life](#)

[Live](#)

[Live from the AAAS](#)

[Neuroscience 2010](#)

[Obituary](#)

[Opinion](#)

[Physics & Math](#)

[Picture of the Day](#)

[Politics](#)

[Science In Society](#)

[Space](#)

[Sports](#)

[Technology](#)

[Today on New Scientist](#)

Like what you're reading? For more cutting-edge science, features, in-depth articles and opinion

READ  
NEW SCIENTIST  
MAGAZINE  
SUBSCRIBE  
TODAY



## Post a comment

[Sign in](#) to comment, or comment anonymously.

Name

Email Address

URL

Remember me?

Comments

Preview

Submit

## 2 Comments

All comments should respect the [New Scientist House Rules](#). If you think a particular comment breaks these rules then please [let us know](#), quoting the comment in question.

**Tony Marshallsay on April 13, 2012 12:13 PM**

The fact that the planets in our solar system are aligned in one plane does not necessarily imply that they were formed by coalescence, rather than being simply captured "free planets". In fact, the motleyness of the collection would tend to suggest the latter.

If they were previously free wanderers, they would be expected to have initial orbits in whatever plane they were captured. Once captured, they would exert gravitational pulls on each other which, under what I call the guitar string principle, would over time tend to pull them into one plane. The orientation of this equilibrium plane with respect to the solar axis would probably be determined by one or two major planets; and any tendency to move out of it would result in restoring gravitational pulls. However the arrival of any new cosmic wanderer could, of course, upset the whole applecart.

**Russell Horwood on April 13, 2012 1:46 PM**

The early evidence never suggested that our system was unusual. When you start looking for planets you are obviously going to start finding large planets close to their parent stars simply because they are easier to see. Anyone whom ever thought this was a fair indication of Universal systems should not be working in science, or even the media.

## Twitter updates



@Annabubblemay Um, thanks! 1 day ago

Picture of the Day: Counting emperor penguins from space <http://t.co/THpYTxS5> 2 days ago

Does trusting your gut make you unscientific? <http://t.co/KoEQKshz> 2 days ago

## Recent comments

By Russell Horwood

**New planet record suggests our solar system is normal:**

The early evidence never suggested that our system was unusual. When you start looking for plan...

By Tony Marshallsay

**New planet record suggests our solar system is normal:**

The fact that the planets in our solar system are aligned in one plane does not necessarily imp...

### Recent entries

[North Korea will learn little from failed spaceshot](#)

[New planet record suggests our solar system is normal](#)

[X-ray of a cat born to be a mummy](#)

[Today on New Scientist: 12 April 2012](#)

[Guidelines on farmyard antibiotics are 'make-believe'](#)

### Monthly archive

[April 2012 \(18\)](#)

[March 2012 \(55\)](#)

[February 2012 \(58\)](#)

[January 2012 \(57\)](#)

[December 2011 \(50\)](#)

[November 2011 \(56\)](#)

[October 2011 \(54\)](#)

[September 2011 \(58\)](#)

[August 2011 \(65\)](#)

[July 2011 \(67\)](#)

[June 2011 \(62\)](#)

[May 2011 \(76\)](#)

[April 2011 \(76\)](#)

[March 2011 \(88\)](#)

[February 2011 \(71\)](#)

[January 2011 \(87\)](#)

[December 2010 \(77\)](#)

[November 2010 \(93\)](#)

[October 2010 \(64\)](#)

[September 2010 \(60\)](#)

[August 2010 \(58\)](#)

[July 2010 \(55\)](#)

[June 2010 \(48\)](#)

[May 2010 \(42\)](#)

[April 2010 \(39\)](#)

[March 2010 \(51\)](#)

[February 2010 \(46\)](#)

[January 2010 \(45\)](#)

[December 2009 \(47\)](#)

[November 2009 \(40\)](#)

[October 2009 \(33\)](#)

[September 2009 \(29\)](#)

[August 2009 \(17\)](#)

[July 2009 \(28\)](#)

[Previous post](#)

[Next post](#)

- Tags**
- 
- [June 2009 \(20\)](#)
  - [May 2009 \(33\)](#)
  - [April 2009 \(33\)](#)
  - [March 2009 \(48\)](#)
  - [February 2009 \(67\)](#)
  - [January 2009 \(67\)](#)
  - [December 2008 \(46\)](#)
  - [November 2008 \(55\)](#)
  - [October 2008 \(51\)](#)
  - [September 2008 \(43\)](#)
  - [August 2008 \(1\)](#)

**astronaut Barack Obama**  
**brain cancer China climate**  
**climate change**  
**earthquake endangered**  
**species energy**  
**environment evolution fossil**  
**Fukushima genetics global**  
**warming Google health**  
**internet Japan Japan**  
**megaquake 2011 Mars**  
**moon NASA nuclear**  
**energy ocean oil oil spill**  
**Picture of the Day pollution**  
**radiation rocket space space**  
**flight space shuttle**  
**technology Today on**  
**New Scientist today**  
**on New Scientist US**  
**video**

[Back to top](#)



[Login](#)

**About us**

- [New Scientist](#)
- [Syndication](#)
- [Recruitment Advertising](#)
- [Staff at New Scientist](#)
- [Advertise](#)
- [RBI Jobs](#)

**User Help**

- [Contact Us](#)
- [FAQ / Help](#)
- [Disclaimer](#)
- [Ts & Cs](#)
- [Cookies](#)
- [Privacy Policy](#)

**Subscriptions**

- [Subscribe](#)
- [Renew](#)
- [Gift subscription](#)
- [My account](#)
- [Back issues](#)
- [Customer Service](#)

**Links**

- [Site Map](#)
- [Browse all articles](#)
- [Magazine archive](#)
- [NewScientistJobs](#)
- [The LastWord](#)
- [RSS Feeds](#)
- [Online Store](#)
- [Android App](#)
- [Mobile site home](#)

**Science Jobs**

- [Biology Jobs](#)
- [Chemistry Jobs](#)
- [Clinical Jobs](#)
- [Sales Jobs](#)
- [Earth & Environment](#)
- [Jobs](#)
- [Engineering Jobs](#)
- [Maths & IT Jobs](#)
- [Graduate Jobs](#)