

Early B-type benchmark stars for the Gaia-ESO survey



Thierry Morel

GAPHE, Institute for Astrophysics and Geophysics, Liège University, Belgium

Motivation

Defining a set of benchmark stars with well-known and, as far as possible, modelindependent estimates of their fundamental parameters is essential for calibrating the results of the GES. This is done within WG10 and WG11 using the Sun, as well as a set of stars with nearly 'direct' estimates of their surface gravity and effective temperature (e.g., from asteroseismology and interferometry).

The situation is not as favourable in the case of hot stars. With this limitation in mind, one can, however, define a sample of well-studied OB stars with relatively well-established parameters in the literature. We discuss here a set of early B-type benchmark stars that can be used to anchor the results provided by WG13.

Selection criteria

The stars selected have at least two high-quality determinations of Teff and logg in



the literature. Only values solely based on spectroscopic data have been retained (e.g., this excludes Teff measurements based on photometric indices). Also, only studies treating the line formation in non-LTE have been considered. The model atmospheres used may be either LTE or non-LTE (LTE being a reasonable assumption for B-type dwarfs; Przybilla et al. 2011), but a full treatment of line blanketing is required. The results of Daflon and collaborators (Daflon & Cunha 2004, and references therein) have been ignored because of unphysically high surface gravities in several cases.

The sample of benchmark stars

The table below lists the stars observable from Paranal. The figures to the right show their position in the logg-Teff plane using either the individual literature values or the average ones. There is a good agreement between the parameters in all cases (except for HD 35039, which is discarded from the sample). A priority flag (5 for the highest priority and 1 for the lowest) is assigned to each star based on the number of independent studies. As the stars analysed within WG13 will mostly be main-sequence stars, a higher priority was also given to dwarfs.

List of benchmark stars. The first column gives the priority flag (5 for the highest priority and 1 for the lowest). The coordinates, magnitudes, and spectral types are from SIMBAD.

Priority	HD number	Name	Coordinates	(J2000)	В	V	Spectral Type
5	149438	τ Sco	$16 \ 35 \ 52.95$	$-28 \ 12 \ 57.7$	2.61	2.81	B0.2 V
4	36591		$05 \ 32 \ 41.35$	$-01 \ 35 \ 30.6$	5.15	5.34	B1 IV
3	886	$\gamma {\rm Peg}$	$00\ 13\ 14.15$	$+15 \ 11 \ 00.9$	2.60	2.83	B2 IV
3	16582	δ Cet	$02 \ 39 \ 28.96$	$+00 \ 19 \ 42.6$	3.85	4.07	B2 IV
3	29248	ν Eri	04 36 19.14	$-03 \ 21 \ 08.9$	3.74	3.92	B2 III
3	36959		$05 \ 35 \ 01.01$	-06 00 33.4	5.44	5.67	B1 Vv
3	36960		$05 \ 35 \ 02.68$	$-06 \ 00 \ 07.3$	4.53	4.78	B0.5 V
3	93030	θ Car	$10\ 42\ 57.40$	$-64 \ 23 \ 40.0$	2.54	2.78	B0 Vp
2	35299		$05 \ 23 \ 42.31$	$-00 \ 09 \ 35.3$	5.49	5.70	B1.5 V
2	35468	$\gamma~{ m Ori}$	$05\ 25\ 07.86$	$+06\ 20\ 58.9$	1.42	1.64	B2 III
2	35912		$05\ 28\ 01.47$	$+01 \ 17 \ 53.7$	6.21	6.38	B2 V
2	36430		$05 \ 31 \ 20.89$	$-06 \ 42 \ 30.2$	6.04	6.20	B2 V
2	36512	v Ori	$05 \ 31 \ 55.86$	-07 18 05.5	4.36	4.62	B0 V
2	36629		$05 \ 32 \ 57.08$	-04 33 59.3	7.63	7.64	B2 Vv
2	37020	V1016 Ori	05 35 15.85	$-05 \ 23 \ 14.3$	6.75	6.73	B0.5 V
2	37042		$05 \ 35 \ 26.40$	$-05 \ 25 \ 00.7$	6.05	6.02	B2-B5
2	37744		$05 \ 40 \ 37.30$	$-02 \ 49 \ 30.9$	6.01	6.20	B1.5 V
2	44743	β CMa	$06\ 22\ 41.99$	$-17 \ 57 \ 21.3$	1.75	2.0	B1 II/III
2	46328	ξ^1 CMa	$06 \ 31 \ 51.37$	-23 25 06.3	4.09	4.33	$B0.7 \ IV$
2	50707	$15 \mathrm{CMa}$	$06 \ 53 \ 32.91$	$-20 \ 13 \ 27.3$	4.60	4.81	B1 Ib
2	51309	ι CMa	06 56 08.22	$-17 \ 03 \ 15.3$	4.31	4.38	B3 Ib
2	52089	ϵ CMa	$06 \ 58 \ 37.55$	-28 58 19.5	1.39	1.51	B2 Iab:
2	53138	o^2 CMa	$07 \ 03 \ 01.47$	-23 49 59.9	2.94	3.00	B3 Ia
2	85953	V335 Vel	$09 \ 53 \ 50.10$	$-51 \ 08 \ 48.2$	5.78	5.93	B2 III
2		NGC 2244 201	$06 \ 32 \ 06.13$	+04 52 15.3	9.90	9.73	B1 III
1	36285		$05 \ 30 \ 20.75$	$-07 \ 26 \ 05.3$	6.13	6.31	B2 IV-V
1	37128	ϵ Ori	$05 \ 36 \ 12.81$	$-01 \ 12 \ 06.9$	1.51	1.70	B0 Iab:
1	38771		$05\ 47\ 45.39$	$-09 \ 40 \ 10.6$	1.94	2.05	B0 Iab:
1	148688	V1058 Sco	$16 \ 31 \ 41.77$	$-41 \ 49 \ 01.7$	5.59	5.33	B1 Ia
1	152235	V900 Sco	16 53 58.85	-41 59 39.6	6.76	6.34	B0.7 Ia
1	154090	k Sco	$17 \ 04 \ 49.35$	$-34 \ 07 \ 22.5$	5.05	4.86	B1 Iae

1	164353	$67 { m Oph}$	$18 \ 00 \ 38.72$	$+02\ 55\ 53.6$	3.97	3.97	B5 I	
excluded	35039	o Ori	$05 \ 21 \ 45.75$	$-00 \ 22 \ 56.9$	4.54	4.70	B2 IV	

Some words of caution

These early B-type stars may be used to assess the quality of the parameters and chemical abundances provided by WG13. However, it must be emphasised that they are not strictly speaking benchmark stars (as is, for instance, the Sun for cool stars): their parameters have been estimated in a way that is not fundamentally different from that used for the GES targets. In other words, the results are prone to be affected by the same model-dependent effects.

Most OB stars dealt with within WG13 will be fast rotators (e.g., $<vsini>\sim160$ km s⁻¹ in NGC 3293), whereas the abundance studies in the literature are strongly biased against such objects. As a result, the vast majority of the benchmark stars are slow rotators (the fastest rotator is by far θ Car with vsini ~110 km s⁻¹; Hubrig et al. 2008). Satisfactorily reproducing the parameters of the benchmark stars is therefore no guarantee that a similar accuracy can be achieved for the fast-rotating GES targets. This serious caveat should be kept in mind.

References

Briquet & Morel 2007, CoAst, 150, 183 Crowther et al. 2006, A&A, 446, 279 Daflon & Cunha 2004, ApJ, 617, 1115 Ekström et al. 2012, A&A, 537, A146 Gummersbach et al. 1998, A&A, 338, 881 Hubrig et al. 2008, A&A, 488, 287 Lefever et al. 2010, A&A, 515, A74 Mokiem et al. 2005, A&A, 441, 711 Morel et al. 2008, A&A, 481, 453 Morel & Butler 2008, A&A, 487, 307

Morel 2011, BSRSL, 80, 405

Nieva & Simón-Díaz 2011, A&A, 532, A2 Nieva & Przybilla 2012, A&A, 539, A143 Przybilla et al. 2011, JPhCS, 328, 012015 Searle et al. 2008, A&A, 481, 777 Simón-Díaz et al. 2006, A&A, 448, 351 Simón-Díaz 2010, A&A, 510, A22 Thompson et al. 2008, MNRAS, 383, 729 Vrancken et al. 1997, A&A, 320, 878

T. M. acknowledges financial support from Belspo for contract PRODEX GAIA-DPAC.