Modeling stellar interiors with rotational mixing

What has been achieved ?

What needs to be done?

Jean-Paul Zahn Observatoire de Paris

Joint HELAS and CoRoT/TESTA Workshop Porto, 20-23 November 2006

Modeling stellar interiors: a brief history

- 50's: first realistic models Schwarzschild criterion, MLT
 [Boehm-Vitense, Schwarzschild & coll.]
- 60's: evolutionary sequences
 [Cameron & Ezer, Hofmeister, Kippenhahn & Weigert, Iben]
- 70's: introduction of overshoot various parametrizations
 [Maeder, Roxburgh, etc.]
- 80's: attempts of introducing turbulent mixing crude parametrizations [Maeder, Schatzman, Sofia & coll., JPZ, etc.]
- 90's: implementation of microscopic diffusion
 - + gravitational settling + radiative acceleration

[Michaud, Vauclair, & coll.]

→ PRESENT STANDARD MODEL

PRESENT STANDARD MODEL

surface abundances in A type star \rightarrow

Without mixing, which partly destroys the effect of radiative acceleration and gravitational settling, tepid stars would exhibit strong differences in their surface composition

Schatzman 1969, Michaud et al 1999



→ evidence of extra mixing

How to treat this extra mixing

Minimalist approach

Introduce a parametrized turbulent diffusivity Adjust parameters to fit observations



How to treat this extra mixing

Minimalist approach

Introduce a parametrized turbulent diffusivity Adjust parameters to fit observations

Physical approach

Strive to implement the physical processes that are likely to cause mixing

- turbulence produced by instabilities (shear, etc.)
- meridional circulation

Mixing processes in radiation zones

Meridional circulation

Classical picture: circulation is due to thermal imbalance caused by perturbing force (centrifugal, magn. field, etc.) Eddington (1925), Vogt (1925), Sweet (1950), etc

Eddington-Sweet time $t_{\rm ES} = t_{\rm KH} \frac{GM}{\Omega^2 R^3}$, with $t_{\rm KH} = \frac{GM^2}{RL}$

Revised picture: after a transient phase of about *t*_{ES,} circulation is driven by the loss (or gain) of angular momentum and structural changes due to evolution Busse (1981), JPZ (1992), Maeder & JPZ (1998)

- no AM loss: no need to transport AM → weak circulation
- AM loss by wind: need to transport AM to surface → strong circulation

Tachocline circulation in vicinity of conv. zone Spiegel & JPZ (1992)

Mixing processes in radiation zones

Turbulence caused by horizontal shear $\Omega(\theta)$ (barotropic instability)

Properties:

- instability acts to suppress its cause, i.e. rotation in latitude $\Omega(\theta)$
- turbulent transport is anisotropic (due to stratification): $D_h >> D_v$

Main weakness: no firm prescription for D_h

Maeder 2003 Mathis, Palacios & JPZ 2004

- \rightarrow 2 important properties:
 - erodes stabilizing effect of stratification

 $D_{\nu} = \nu_{\nu} = \frac{8}{5} \frac{R i_{\rm crit} (r d\Omega/dr)^2}{N_T^2 / (K + D_h) + N_{\mu}^2 / D_h}$

Talon & JPZ 1997

- changes advection of chemicals into vertical diffusion

$$D_{\rm eff} = \frac{1}{30} \frac{(rU)^2}{D_h}$$

Chaboyer & JPZ 1992

A cartoon: Turbulent erosion of advective transport



Turbulent erosion of advective transport (cont.)



advective transport of chemicals \Rightarrow vertical diffusion

transport of AM remains an advective process

Mixing processes in radiation zones

Turbulence caused by vertical shear $\Omega(\mathbf{r})$ (baroclinic instability)

- if maximum of vorticity (inflexion point) : linear instability
- if no maximum of vorticity : finite amplitude instability
- stabilizing effect of stratification reduced by radiative diffusion

turbulence if

$$Ri_c \left(\frac{dV_{\rm hor}}{dr}\right)^2 > N^2 \left(\frac{w\ell}{K}\right)$$
 River

chardson criterion

from which one deduces the turbulent diffusivity (if $\mu = cst$)

$$D_v = w\ell = Ri_c K \frac{\Omega^2}{N^2} \left(\frac{d\ln\Omega}{d\ln r}\right)^2$$

Townsend 1959 Dudis 1974; JPZ 1974 Lignières et al. 1999

K thermal diffusion; v viscosity; *N* buoyancy frequency

Rotational mixing - the observational test

Assumption: the processes (i.e. circulation and turbulence) that cause the mixing of chemical elements are also responsible for the transport of angular momentum JPZ (1992), Maeder & Z (1998)

- quite successful with early-type stars (fast rotators)
 Talon et al. 1997; Maeder & Meynet 2000; Talon & Charbonnel 1999
- for late-type stars (which are spun down by wind) predicts
 - fast rotating core ≠ helioseismology
 - strong destruction of Be in Sun not observed
 - mixing correlated with loss of angular momentum

 ± Li in tidally locked binaries
 ± little dispersion in the Spite plateau
 - ⇒ Another, more powerful process is responsible for the transport of angular momentum

2 types of rotational mixing

In any case, circulation and turbulence are responsible for the mixing of chemical elements

Rotational mixing of type I : angular momentum is carried also by circulation and turbulence

Rotational mixing of type II : another process operates for the transport of angular momentum; has indirect impact on mixing, by shaping Ω(r)

- magnetic field ?
- internal gravity waves ?

Role of a fossil magnetic field

Does it prevent the spread of tachocline? Does it enforce uniform rotation?



convection zone

tachocline void of magnetic field

magnetopause

YES, according to Gough & McIntyre 1998

Role of a fossil magnetic field

Can such a field rigidify the rotation in the radiation zone? NO



3D time-dependent solutions ASH code

initially
 deeply buried
 poloidal field

diffuses into CZ, imprints diff. rot. on RZ → (Ferraro law)

Brun & Zahn 2006





Angular momentum transport by waves

Schatzman 1993, Zahn et al 1997, Talon et al 2002, Talon & Charbonnel 2005

Internal gravity waves and gravito-inertial waves are emitted at the base of the convection zone

They transport angular momentum, which they deposit where they are damped through thermal diffusion

- if prograde and retrograde waves are equally excited and if there is no differential rotation

⇒ no net momentum deposition

- if there is differential rotation, prograde and retrograde waves deposit their momentum at different locations
 - \Rightarrow waves increase the local differential rotation, until the shear becomes unstable \Rightarrow turbulence
 - high I waves are damped very close to the CZ

- low I, low frequency waves are damped in deep interior

Rotational mixing type II in solar type stars : the observational test

3

Circulation and turbulence are responsible for the mixing of chemical elements Angular momentum is transported by internal gravity waves

IGW are able to extract AM from solar interior and to render the rotation uniform

- the right amount of Li is depleted in Pop I and Pop II stars

log (N(Li)/N(H))+12 type I 752 NGC 110 9.2 9.4 9.6 9.8 8.8 9 8.6 log t (yr)

type II with IGW

0

Charbonnel & Talon 2005



Weakest points of present models with rotational mixing

- Parametrization of the turbulence caused by differential rotation
- Which physical process prevents the spread of the tachocline ?
- Power spectrum for IGW emitted at base of convection zone
- Particle transport by IGW ?
- Role of instabilities due to magnetic field ?