The Search for Planets at Longer Wavelengths: Prospects, Challenges, and Surprises

Ansgar Reiners

Institut für Astrophysik Georg-August Universität Göttingen





Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft





Advantages at NIR

1. For red stars, redder spectra carry more information (Information advantage)

AB

2. At longer wavelength, activity impacts less (Jitter advantage)

Information advantage:

M-stars emit mostly at near-infrared wavelengths



visual-range Radial-Velocity instruments

Information advantage



Information advantage



2. Jitter advantage





2. Jitter advantage





Target: Hubble I 4

...but: the Zeeman effect



rule of thumb:
$$1 \frac{m}{s} * B/G * \lambda/\mu m$$

Example of magnetic field measurement

Quite obvious in <u>M-type stars</u> at <u>infrared wavelengths</u>

Here: R=30,000; SNR=100



Reiners & Basri, 2007

rule of thumb: $1 \frac{m}{s} * B/G * \lambda/\mu m$

How do contrast and Zeeman compare?



How do contrast and Zeeman compare?



f = 1%, B = 1000G, vsini = 2km/s

How do contrast and Zeeman compare?





HARPS observations of AD Leo

Further challenges: Size



Further challenges: Size ↓↓ Slicer





Bean et al., 2011

Very red stars are very faint example: CARMENES target characterization



V.M. Passegger (P6.3)

Credit: M. Cortéz-Contreras



Addison-Wesley

Which planets can we hope to find?



Towards longer wavelengths:

- 1. More photons but less features
- 2. Less contrast but more Zeeman
- Things we have to learn:
 Size, Slicing, Telluric lines, Detectors ...



